Name / Organisation	Scheme Doc Ref	Comment	Response
Virgin Media	3.1.2	Virgin Media are disappointed that Lincolnshire County Council's Permit Scheme and associated fee's will apply to all classification of roads. If the council chooses to apply permits to 100% of streets, contrary to advice from Ministers, Virgin Media requests that Lincolnshire County Council grant permits for category 3 and 4 roads by default and for those permits to be at zero fee levels.	The charging mechanisms are set out by roads if required by the scheme. The ferneed to cover the costs of operation.
Virgin Media	18.4.4	Virgin Media acknowledge that Lincolnshire County Council will be following the DfT/HAUC guidelines for applying a discount for working wholly outside traffic- sensitive times, but request that works on category 3 and 4 roads are granted by default and for those permits to be at zero fee levels.	The charging mechanisms are set out by roads if required by the scheme. The fe need to cover the costs of operation.
Virgin Media	18.4.5	What happens to revenue generated from permit fees if they exceed the allowable cost of the scheme?	In the event that there is a surplus in a towards the costs of the scheme in the accordingly as required by regulations.
Anglian Water	6.2.7	This refer to 5.5, but there isn't a 5.5 within the document	This will be amended. Please refer to 9.
Anglian Water	9.2.4	Promoters would need to know under what circumstances an illustration is required, as promoters could not provide this on all permit applications.	Illustrations/TM will be requested by LC location of works and activity proposed
Anglian Water	9.2.7	Can this be reworded so that this is only required if anything other than the normal hand dig or mini digger is used. What benefit does this give?	Noted. The methods used by promoters disruption on the network. Methodolog
Arglian Water O O O J O	9.2.9	Why is this necessary, under legislation we have 6 months to do interim to permanent, and at time of doing the permit application would not know when permanent would be done if we had to interim. We often plan to do permanent but then for operational reasons have to interim; this would not be known at the application stage.	Noted. This does not direct you to comp This can be reworded for clarity.
Anglian Water	9.2.17	Need to add exemptions to S58 for clarity	Legislation outlines exemptions to s.58
Anglian Water	10.1	Need to define if early start requests are by email, telephone or EToN so that we have a consistent approach.	All methods stated in 10.1 are accepted method used by the promoter could de for the early start request.
Anglian Water	12.1.2	Under legislation it says that the Highway Authority imposes the conditions, promoters will add the conditions they believe are relevant.	Legislation states the Authority "May" i everyone involved in highway activities the broader TMA objective of expeditin the promoter is aware of conditions rela to the permit application. LCC may impo
Anglian Water	12.1.3	PMR should always be used when requesting additional conditions	PMR's will generally be used if only cha application. Refusals relating to condition errors within the permit application.
Anglian Water	18	Can LCC send a proforma detailing proposed permit charges for checking and agreement on a fortnightly basis. Receipt of a months data in one go would be difficult to turn around in the required timescales.	Noted. This can be discussed individuall written into the scheme document.
Anglian Water	18.3.1	If permits are charged for work carried out on fire hydrants, this will be recharged back to Lincolnshire C C by the Water Undertaker, the majority of permit schemes have the following statement in this section. I Any work undertaken on a fire hydrant.	Noted. There are also exemptions for fi

by regulation and fees are allowed on all fees set relate to Lincolnshire County Council's

by regulation and fees are allowed on all fees set relate to Lincolnshire County Council's

a given year, the money will be applied the next year and the fee levels adjusted

9.2

LCC on a case-by-case basis dependent on the ed.

ers can have a significant effect on the level of logies assist in the overall permit assessment.

mplete first time permanent reinstatements.

58 which LiPS will adhere to. ed by LCC for requesting early starts. The dependent on how much notification is given

" impose conditions. It is essential that es takes both the co-ordination objectives and ting the movement of traffic into account. If relevant to their work, these should be added pose conditions they feel necessary.

hanges to conditions are required in the permit itions may be included if there also other

ally between promoter and LCC but will not be

fire hydrants in 5.3

Name / Organisation	Scheme Doc Ref	Comment	Response
All UTILITIES	Fee Matrix	The utilities expressed concern that there may be hidden charges. Lincolnshire County Council confirmed that this would not be the case. The utilities thanked Lincolnshire County Council for using common sense. The utilities queried why only major activity greater than 11 days duration also mentioned the use of a TTRO – what happens if utilities needed a road closure for one day or if it was needed in an emergency – would the utilities have to pay a higher rate? (Why could something not be charged for up to a three day duration). To be discussed with Mouchel.	The DfT issued a revised fee structure the "Additional Advice Note – for deve Schemes" dated February 2013. This request to all authorities who operate the roll-out of superfast broadband inf been divided into 3 charge categories the higher charge irrespective of durate The fee structure will remain as guide
	Scheme Document		
	5.2	Temporary Traffic Control – needs clarification as to what this actually means. Agreed to amend to read "temporary traffic management". Amended	Amended
	6.2.7	Utilities referred to the reference to 5.5 Lincolnshire County Council agreed to amend – misprint.	Corrected
	9.2.7 – Methodology	What value does this add to the permit? Agreed to review.	The methods used by promoters can h disruption on the network. Methodolog assessment. This will not be required a case-by-case basis.
			Reworded in scheme document.

ure for permitting set out in paragraph 23 of eveloping and operating future Permit his was in response to the government's te or intend to operate schemes to facilitate infrastructure. As a result, major works have es. Any works requiring a closure will attract ration.

ded by DfT.

n have a significant effect on the level of logies assist in the overall permit ed on all permits but as requested by LCC on

Name / Organisation	Scheme Doc Ref	Comment	Response
	9.2.9	Utilities indicated that sometimes they do a job and expect it to be a permanent solution and other times they have to return to a site – why have this as a condition. 9.2.9.2. explains this.	9.2 removed from scheme document a
	9.2.17	Section 58 – does not make any reference regarding exemptions to Section 58 and this should be added for clarity. Agreed to amend.	NRSWA 1991 (s.58) details all exemptions. exemptions as it will abide by those directe included in the scheme document and NRSV scheme document have to go out to consul Referencing and abiding by NRSWA prevent be amended in the future.
Page	12.1.2. Conditions	Needs to be reworded – (this looks like the utilities should be putting on the conditions each time). Agreed to amend.	Under section 3.17 of the DfT Technical Spe "Permit schemes introduce the concept of approach adopted is based on the promote Variation Applications. If the Primary Notice applied then the application is refused by is "Refuse PAA / Permit / Variation" notificati I would urge against changes to this in the s ETON technical specification on how condit agreement that promoters apply any releva The promoter applying conditions also give managing their work and not all permits wi
21	12.2.2.	Lincolnshire County Council confirmed that the DfT statutory guidance would be taken as read so the utilities do not have to provide mandatory conditions every time an application was submitted.	Reworded. 12.2.1 states these do not need to be applied
	12.3.2	. – Agreed to amend.	Amend 12.3.2 to read – Regulation 13 prov an activity that is not yet the subject of a pe and agreed with the promoter within the tw activity starting on site and the issuing of th
	15.7.2 – Extensions	utilities asked "what criminal offence would we be looking at"? Agreed to take it back to Mouchel.	Any breach of Regulation 19 (working with conditions) is a criminal offence and liable f
	15.10 – Multiple Excavations	Utilities asked if Lincolnshire County Council intended to enforce this. Lincolnshire County Council indicated that this would be enforced if Lincolnshire County Council were incurring additional administration costs. Do Lincolnshire County Council really need to know every single hole which is dug by utility companies? Agreed to review.	Yes – LCC will need to know of ALL excavati authorities have a duty to protect the struc apparatus in it. Promoters are required under s.70 of NRSW undertaken by way of registration of reinsta under s.70 (6).
	15.10.5	<ul> <li>Utility companies needed to be accurate in what they are telling Lincolnshire County</li> <li>Council. Registration needs to be clear "in line with Section 70" needs to be added.</li> </ul>	Amended

nt and replaced with 9.2.9.2 for clarity.

s. The scheme document does not outline all cted under NRSWA. Had all exemptions been RSWA s.58 were to be amended in the future, the sultation again to update and inform the changes. ents the need for consultation should NRSWA s.58

Specification for EToN 2013 it states: of Conditions that can be applied to a Permit. The oter including Conditions in their PAA, Permit and tice Authority is unhappy with the Conditions y issuing either a "Modification Request" or a ation."

e scheme document as direction is provided in the ditions are applied. LCC could have a 'local' evant conditions be to TS / SSS routes only.

ves greater accountability in planning and will require conditions

plied to the permits

ovides for the Authority to impose conditions on permit. Effectively, conditions can be discussed two hour period of time between an Immediate the Immediate permit application.

thout a permit) and Regulation 20 (breach of e for prosecution.

ations as under S.59 of NRSWA 1991, all ucture of the street and the integrity of the

SWA to inform the authority of all excavations statement and failing to do so is a criminal offence

Name / Organisation	Scheme Doc Ref	Comment	Response
	16.1 – Illegitimate Phasing	This would never happen so why have a section on it. Agreed to review	History shows that this is a common proble
			HAUC meetings and the issue of illegitimate
			confident this does not apply to them there
			this remains so there is a clear outline of ex
	18.3.1 – Fees	Waiving fees on water hydrant works – utilities to include this point in their feedback to the	amended 5.3 to read – Testing of water hy
		consultation.	sensitive periods
	18.4.4.3 -	Discussion ensued regarding working outside traffic sensitive times.	Timing of invoices can be discussed and ag
			is not dictated within the scheme documen
		Concern was expressed that invoices needed to be sent to the utilities at least every two	require 28 days, others 14 days.
		weeks and needed to be split as each utility company would need to scrutinize these	
		carefully prior to payment being authorised.	
Other Comments			
Natural England		Natural England does not consider that the Lincolnshire Permit Scheme poses any likely risk	
		or opportunity in relation to our statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on	
		this consultation.	
Ingoldmells Parish		Ingoldmells Parish Councillors considered the objectives and benefits of the scheme at a	
		recent meeting of the Council and had no hesitation in giving their full support to the	
		proposal.	

blem across the industry and has been discussed at ate phasing accepted by the DfT. If promoters are ere shouldn't be any issue, however I would advise expectations should this issue arise.

hydrants, provided the work is done outside traffic-

agreed individually between promoter and LCC and nent due to promoters operating differently. Some